

IACP Directors meeting 3/22/16

Present for the meeting Rusty O'Leary, Greg Dickerson, Amy Jeppesen, Bill Benkula, Becky Novak in person Shawn Bills, Devere Hunt, Melanie Scott and Teronda Robinson by phone.

Meeting started at: 1:25pm

1. Preparation for full association meetings
  - A. Times of sub group meetings.
    - a. MH – 9am -4pm
    - b. SUDS- 3pm-5pm – current issues IDOC changing service delivery, continuing to work on increase in rates and monitoring new rules roll out.
    - c. TSC Conference call – Shawn is working on can get the URL and Website squared away. We can post actual committees and members. Good place to solicit membership. It was agreed that Shawn would make that presentation as the Chair.
    - d. DD- 9am-1pm
    - e. Supported Living 2pm-5pm
  - B. Summary of vacant seats as of 4-16
    - a. Up for re-election
      - i. Devere – MH
      - ii. Greg – putting up or Vote of Confidence
      - iii. Becky – DD
      - iv. Amy- SUDS
      - v. Rusty – SUDS
        1. Rusty reported that the SUDS providers are aware of that the seats are open. That the SUDS will nominate in the March 31<sup>st</sup> meeting and vote on April 21<sup>st</sup>.
      - vi. Van – DDA is stepping down.
      - vii. Shawn- Will send an email out and then nominate and vote via conference call.
  - C. Election process.
    - a. Votes must be by primary provider type.
    - b. Discussion around some of the smaller provider types and voting in people in one case 2 people are designated which would put them always on the board.
  - D. Discussion around was it appropriate for Greg to put his position up for a vote of confidence. Determined that it was appropriate as per the Bi-laws. Discussion around if Greg's email was appropriate. Greg represented that he is trying to unify the groups. Amy expressed concerns that the email created a gap between the MH and SUDS providers. It was discussed that both had the same goal of unification and that Greg can present how he wants to the members for a vote a confidence by Rusty O'Leary.

E. Topics for full group meeting Suggestions below.

1. Review goals from strategic planning last fall (each chair should report)
2. Partnerships conferences.
  - a. DDA- Bill was approached by a person for sponsorship of the partnership conference. Teronda made a suggestion that she would send out the sponsorship information along with the speakers to the sub groups and they would have a discussion around supporting. It was agreed that we would present this info in the subgroups and get a sense of if people are comfortable with sponsorship.
3. Budget for upcoming year.
  - a. Discussion around budget. DeVere reported that he and his committee met and have had some productive discussions. Discussed the current expenders this year and revenue of dues. Discussed ED position and funding for this position. Bill requested that DeVere present what the committee has worked on and propose a Dues structure for the next year. Discussion around who the budget committee is and decided that it is the board of Directors as a whole at this time. Discussed DeVere and his committee to present on what it would cost to have an Executive Director to give us an idea of what funding options would be for this person. Bill referred DeVere back to the strategic plan under the section of March and April where it discussed creating a budget. Bill asked Greg to create a report to send to the directors of expenditures and then ideas from the directors on how to achieve financial goals. Greg suggested that it be a topic in the subtype groups of the dues structure, what providers could shoulder and revenue streams.
4. Sub-committees (Legislative committee e.g)
  - a. Bill suggested that this year we need to make sure that each committee has a chairman.
  - b. Greg identified that there are two committees without chairs.
5. Teronda suggested having sub-committees report what they are working on and then have each committee meet for a time and allow others to join. This was agreed upon.
6. Others brought by the board at meeting
  - i. Bill reported that IACP has been invited to be on the Governs advisory medical committee. Bill proposed that we do nominate someone from the board to represent us. He did ask for clarification about what type of board member they would like to see on the committee.

F. Current events requiring action prior to meeting

1. Each subcommittee report to Directors today.
  - A. TSC
  - B. Mental Health

### C. SUDS

- a. Discussion around meeting coming up with Health and Welfare. Greg discussed the Alcohol treatment act and Mental health act. That we need to work towards the statute comes together. Amy discussed recommending national accreditation with deemed status and then state plan for those who choose not to. Rusty stated that the SHIP program is moving forward and that MH and SUDS will end up under that and how do we integrate into that that protects providers. Discussion around integration and how it has been handled in other states. Discussion around how Arizona integrated and making sure we keep our eye on the big picture. Rusty made the suggestion that we designate a board member to represent IACP in the meetings. This would establish credibility and also that the person would need full disclosure to all board members. Bill brought up that they have done this in the past and it worked well. Discussion around having one SUDS and one MH. Greg brought up that this meeting will be more about a starting point and that we will need a stance as it rolls out. Rusty suggested present a united front. The SUDS and MH will meet Monday or Tuesday to iron out a plan. Greg will send out some dates to have a go to meeting.
- b. SUDS has been talking about getting more membership from SUDS providers and others.

### D. DDA

#### E. Supported Living

- a. Has rate study, Teronda has been the contact person. The rate study is due April 30<sup>th</sup>. Some agencies have already turned them in. Bill expressed concern about some of the question and answer process. Bill was hoping the association could do some training sessions but with the date so close it doesn't appear possible. Bill also expressed concern about the new State Plan amendment that the department didn't address well at the public hearing as this affects supported living and DDA. The Federal Government has rules around gathering input around quality access and rates. Bill urged that it will be important as this opens up the State Plan amendment. In the past we have only been able to have input to the rules but not the actual State Plan amendment. Bill urged that we have input into the State Plan amendment. Bill pointed out that the new CFR's they are very specific to what is needed and is

concerned that we help the department develop this. This is a chance to have input onto the measure of access. Bill suggested that it might be good for the providers to get together and write it and present to Health and Welfare. The board agreed to support Bill in moving forward with the process moving forward.

F. New Business

- a. Becky asked a question about voting on new board members. Teronda volunteered to take on the ballots. It would be more than likely the vice-president position to be voted at the next elections.

G. Rusty motioned that the meeting be closed. Becky Seconded. Motion was approved end time 3:00pm